


GUIDELINES FOR REPORTING AND COUNTING CHARITABLE GIFTS

Revised and Updated 2021



NATIONAL ASSOCIATION of
Charitable Gift Planners

Guidelines for Reporting and Counting Charitable Gifts

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is provided with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting or other professional services. If legal advice or other professional assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

From a Declaration of Principles jointly adopted by a committee of the American Bar Association and a committee of publishers and associations

Published in the United States of America by the National Association of Charitable Gift Planners
200 S. Meridian Street, Suite 510 • Indianapolis, IN 46225
Phone: 317-269-6274 • Fax: 317-269-6272 • E-mail: info@charitablegiftplanners.org
Web Site: www.charitablegiftplanners.org

CONTENTS

Executive Summary	3
Key Principles	3
Introduction to the 2021 Revision.....	4
Historical Context.....	5
A Note About Transparency	6
Definitions and Distinctions	7
Counting and Reporting	7
Accounting	8
Valuation.....	8
Crediting.....	8
Campaign	8
Distinction Among Counting, Valuing and Crediting.....	9
Recommendations	10
Practical Considerations.....	11
Completeness.....	11
Clarity	12
Comparability.....	12
Donor Perspective	13
Setting Goals; Offering Options.....	13
Valuation and Crediting vs. Counting	13
Familiar Foundations.....	14
Count Each Gift Once	14
Specific Counting and Reporting Guidelines.....	15
Fundamental Principles of Campaign Counting.....	15
Basic Principles	15
Campaign Period	16
Pledge Payment Period	17
When to Report Gifts	17
Annual Reporting within a Multi-Year Campaign	17

Reporting maturations of previous commitments 17

Exclusions 18

What to Report 19

Category A: Outright Gifts 20

 Definition and Examples..... 20

 Guidelines for reporting specific types of assets in Category A..... 21

Category B: Irrevocable Deferred Gifts..... 24

 Definition and Examples..... 24

Category C: Revocable Deferred Gifts 24

 Definition and Examples..... 24

 Uncertainty 25

 Age Minimums 25

 Counting Estate Provisions 26

 Counting Retirement Plan Assets 27

Gifts That May Be Counted in More Than One Category, Depending on the
Circumstances 28

 Life Insurance 28

 Wholly Charitable Trusts Administered by Others 29

Gifts That Change Character During a Campaign Period 30

 Examples 30

 Gifts from Previous Campaigns 31

Exceptions 31

Conclusion..... 31

Further Reading 31

Sample Reporting Form..... 32

 Category A: Spendable Gifts (Outright or to Endowment) 33

 Category B: Irrevocable Deferred Gifts..... 35

 Category C: Revocable Gifts 36

 ALL CATEGORIES..... 37

APPENDIX 38

 Acknowledgements..... 38

2021 Review Panel	38
2005 National Committee on Planned Giving Task Force on Counting Gifts	38

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Guidelines for Reporting and Counting Charitable Gifts recommend that fundraising campaigns of whatever duration as well as periodic reporting for all time periods, would be better structured, clearer in their expectations, more transparent in their reporting and more truly comparable if they were to set three separate and complementary goals and report fundraising results in these three dimensions:

1. An outright goal for gifts that are usable or will become usable for institutional purposes during the campaign period (whether one or more years).
2. Irrevocable deferred gift goals, for gifts committed during the campaign period but usable by the organization at some point after the end of the campaign period.
3. Revocable gift goals for gifts solicited and committed or pledged during the campaign period but in which the donor retains the right to change the commitment and/or beneficiary.

Throughout these guidelines, the term “campaign” refers to the period of time for which activity is tracked and reports are generated, including annual and multi-year periods.

These guidelines also recommend that charities report their progress toward each of these goals separately, using face value numbers.

Key Principles

The guidelines are based upon several key principles. Among the most important of these are that the guidelines should:

- Be clear, transparent and easily understandable by development professionals as well as the wider audience of staff, volunteers, regulators and benefactors.
- Provide a mechanism for comparison among charitable organizations based on criteria that can be applied comparatively across the broad charitable community.
- Take into account the considerations, perceptions and expectations of the donor.

- Focus on counting and reporting, not accounting, valuation or crediting.
- Recognize that the IRS charitable deduction calculations were not created for the purpose of counting planned gifts and, while valid for tax purposes, do not offer a way of counting planned gifts that recognizes the total campaign and development effort or the actual value of the commitment to the charity.
- Recognize that campaigns are usually finite, often with a multi-year timeframe. We also recognize that some organizations conduct a series of annual campaigns with a structure very similar to multi-year campaigns.

Donors, boards of trustees, administrative staff, faculty members and other constituencies often care little for the fine distinctions of the accounting profession. Reports of fundraising activity should reflect clearly the activity that has transpired and its results. By reporting three complementary but separate categories, these guidelines emphasize that clarity. They speak the same language that donors use when they make their gifts, and that other groups speak when they issue reports.

INTRODUCTION TO THE 2021 REVISION

In March 2005, the National Committee on Planned Giving (now the National Association of Charitable Gift Planners) published the *Guidelines for Reporting and Counting Charitable Gifts*, the result of nearly two years of deliberation, consultation and collaboration by a national task force. Simultaneously, NCPG maintained a continuing series of conversations with other organizations and groups of professional leaders interested in coming to agreement on common guidelines that could be used by nonprofit organizations of all types. Among these organizations was the National Capital Campaign Counting Guidelines Committee, a group of senior development professionals from across the country.

In each of these conversations, credibility and transparency arose as the key issues critical to any sector-wide standard. As the initial Task Force discovered, boards, executive officers, volunteers and employees charged with carrying out the mission of charitable organizations had long questioned the validity of fundraising reports. Formal

campaigns seemed to grow exponentially in size, but the usable dollars failed to match the sometimes grandiose announced campaign totals. In more recent years, nonprofit organizations have had to comply with stricter disclosure rules, such as those included in the [Sarbanes-Oxley legislation](#). They have also broadened their quests for financial support, and the public—both those with an intimate knowledge of a particular organization and those who observe from a distance—have come to view megacampaigns with increased skepticism. For all these reasons, charitable organizations continue to seek the most transparent ways of reporting fundraising results, while also recognizing the wide array of gift vehicles and mechanisms now available to donors that were not part of the philanthropic landscape in earlier times.

Historical Context

Initially, fundraising campaigns were focused efforts designed to accomplish one specific project, almost always a capital building, expansion or renovation project. In the early days of campaigns, organizations would often employ outside fundraising counsel, who would organize a team of volunteers, solicit businesses and individuals in the community or within the organization's easily identified constituency, and raise the funds. Campaigns were focused on cash gifts only, were relatively short in duration, were "unusual" events in the life of the organization and were staffed by volunteers directed by an outside fundraising professional brought in specifically for that purpose. Few charities employed full-time development officers.

As organizations began to build their own internal fundraising resources and increasingly focused on raising money every year, they began to think about campaigns in new ways. Campaigns became annual efforts with articulated goals, and increasingly multi-year efforts in which long-term relationships with donors played a far more important role than they had earlier. For that reason, professional staff fundraisers have become heavily involved in the direct face-to-face solicitation process. While volunteers continue to play a vital role, staff members have increasingly taken the lead in organizing and conducting and directing campaigns.

Second, charities moved from single-project focus to a more comprehensive effort, in which all the needs of the organization—capital, endowment and current operating—were folded into the campaign structure.

Third, charities are often in a quasi-campaign mode. Even if organizations are not in a formal campaign, they are raising as much money as they can for the ongoing priorities of the institution; there is no let-down or “rest period” between projects as there used to be, and there is no “back to the pre-campaign status quo.”

Finally, charitable organizations recognized that not all assets come in the form of cash, and that both noncash assets and new and more complex methods of transferring those assets would increase access to more and larger gifts. Planned giving became an important part of successful fundraising campaigns, whether the annual campaign or the more highly visible multi-year comprehensive campaign.

Even as this evolution in campaign definitions took place, the actual structure of campaigns remained static. Organizations continued to set a single financial goal and to operate under the premise that all gifts, no matter what kind or what character, could be counted and reported in a way that would be recognized as equivalent to all other gifts. This paradigm has limited the ability to structure campaigns in ways that will attract the largest gifts. It has circumscribed the capacity to explain in clear and transparent ways the various types of gifts that make up campaigns. It has also limited the ability to focus on real results across the broad spectrum of gift options. These guidelines recommend a paradigm for structuring both annual and multi-year campaigns and for counting and reporting gifts within those campaigns that will address each of these concerns.

A Note About Transparency

Transparency in reporting standards is a function of accuracy, completeness and clarity. These counting guidelines address all three dimensions.

In the past, many organizations have reported campaign progress using complex formulae for deriving net present value of gifts and gift commitments. Unfortunately, individuals and organizations differ on the appropriate formulae to use, and the results rarely reflect the actual value of a gift to an organization. These guidelines focus on the character of the gift, placing commitments in three easily recognized and unambiguous categories. By not mixing these types of commitments, the guidelines accurately reflect the results of an organization's development activities. Organizations that choose to combine the three categories into a single campaign total risk obscuring the real nature of the organization's philanthropic support.

Second, these guidelines account for all commitments made during the campaign period and all periodic reports of fundraising activity, not simply those for which the donor received an immediate tax deduction. Bequest commitments and other deferred gifts, whether revocable or irrevocable, are all part of the goals of a complete fundraising program and should be reported. The fact that they do not represent money "in hand" is unambiguously presented by the three-category reporting structure.

Finally, the guidelines strive for clarity by recommending that gifts and commitments be reported in three categories instead of simply reporting one number for fundraising totals.

DEFINITIONS AND DISTINCTIONS

Counting and Reporting

Counting and reporting are arithmetic activities. Counting is the numeric summary of activity, results and progress toward goals. Reporting is the process of conveying to a lay audience clearly and transparently what has happened during a specific timeframe.

Accounting

Accounting is a process of keeping financial books based on a set of generally accepted guidelines and principles in order to present a fair, comparable and understandable picture of an organization's financial state at any given time.

Valuation

Valuation is an assessment of the actual value of an item to the person or organization that possesses it. Value may be determined by any number of methods and may reflect net present value, the future purchasing value, or even a subjective value based on non-financial considerations, such as the impact on marketing or the ability of a specific gift to attract others in its wake. The National Association of Charitable Gift Planners [Valuation Standards for Charitable Planned Gifts](#) define valuation as a reflection of the present value of the ultimate purchasing power of the gift.

Crediting

Crediting is institution-specific and represents the way each organization grants recognition to its donors. It is up to each institution to set its own standards and requirements for documenting commitments. For example, some organizations require written confirmation of a bequest provision while others rely solely on a donor's verbal commitment. Such recognition need not stem from any of the factors of counting and reporting, accounting or valuation, although a given organization may use any of these calculations as the basis of its donor recognition policies.

Campaign

Our use of the term "campaign" simply recognizes that charitable organizations report results in definitive time segments. Most organizations report on an annual basis and, because all gifts that are committed that year are counted, those organizations may be said to be in an annual campaign.

Periodically, some organizations place a longer multi-year campaign umbrella over their fundraising activities and may report gifts committed during this longer period.

Throughout the guidelines, we use the term “reporting period” to encompass traditional multi-year campaigns and other time frames in which a nonprofit elects to report fundraising activity. When charities elect to enter multi-year campaigns, they will, as they do currently, prepare two complementary reports, one of annual activity and one for the multi-year effort.

Distinction Among Counting, Valuing and Crediting

Confusion of the terms and processes related to “counting,” “valuing” and “crediting” is very common. In general:

1. Counting provides a way in which all charities can record what they do, so that they can report their activity and results clearly to the public, compare results and measure against clearly articulated and unambiguous goals.
2. Counting provides a way to measure the intent of the donor, since most donors focus on the dollar amount of their commitment at the time they decide to make it, and not on the net value to the charity in an ultimate sense. All gifts, revocable and irrevocable, current and deferred, should therefore be counted.
3. Counting complements valuation, which is an institution-specific calculation and measures the value to that organization of the total gift transaction over time. These Guidelines for Reporting and Counting Charitable Gifts are compatible with the [Valuation Standards for Charitable Planned Gifts](#) and should be used as complementary tools.
4. Counting commitments and reporting them are external processes, intended for public information and comparison among organizations, while valuation is an internal process, based on the factors peculiar to each organization’s investment and financial experience.
5. Likewise, crediting a particular donor’s gift is an internal process dependent on each organization’s history, mission and policies.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Campaigns of any duration and other fundraising efforts would be better structured, clearer in their expectations, more transparent in their reporting and more truly comparable among organizations if they begin with three complementary goals:

1. **Category A: An outright goal** for gifts that are usable or will become usable for institutional purposes during the reporting period (whether one or more years).
2. **Category B: An irrevocable deferred gift goal** for gifts committed during the reporting period but usable by the organization at some point after the end of the period.
3. **Category C: A revocable deferred gift goal** for gifts solicited and committed during the reporting period but in which the donor retains the right to change the commitment and/or beneficiary.

These three categories should guide both the goals charitable organizations set at the beginning of their campaigns, and the reporting of results during the campaign period. In this way, organizations can measure results against aspirations, and can articulate clearly and definitively that all three types of gift commitments are crucial to achieving charitable mission. By setting clear goals in each of these categories from the beginning of a campaign, organizations can move more directly to conversations with donors about potential gifts to each of the three complementary goals. The “three-tiered” ask becomes a natural part of the campaign effort.

Charities should report progress toward these goals using face value data. Because these guidelines focus on reporting and not valuation, the specifics of each gift, like the age of the donor or the payout rate from a life income arrangement, are not relevant factors. Charities should report the numbers as a record of activity. So long as charities associate the reported numbers with the comparable goal or category of activity, there should be no confusion about the meaning of the data.

By establishing three goals, confusion about counting will diminish, staff and volunteers alike will have a clearer sense of their focus, and reports will not attempt to mix gifts that are intrinsically difficult to combine into a single accurately reportable number. These guidelines specifically do not offer a methodology that purports to compare commitments from different categories that are inherently different in character. Categorical goals reflect, much better than a single goal, the true nature of campaigns and annual fundraising efforts as they currently exist.

Charitable administrators should “count” toward their stated goal in each of the three categories only new commitments made during that reporting period. However, we recommend that charities report all charitable receipts or changes in commitments that affect the financial state of the charity, even when these are not counted toward campaign goals. The sample reporting form (page 32) provides a separate column for reporting commitments that have changed in character during a reporting period, like trusts or annuities that have matured or revocable commitments that have become irrevocable. For use in a campaign context, the sample reporting form also includes a column for reporting matured gifts that were committed and counted toward the goals of a previous campaign.

The breakdowns suggested in the sample reporting form are intended for internal reporting clarity. We recommend that only the summary results in the three major categories should be reported to external constituencies.

Practical Considerations

Completeness

These guidelines allow charitable organizations to recognize all the activity of staff, volunteers and donors, not simply those measured by tax considerations. In particular, they measure progress toward goals associated with revocable commitments, with gifts with uncertain outcomes like qualified retirement plans or insurance gifts and with gifts from intermediary organizations like donor advised funds or supporting organizations, all of which can easily be overlooked or even lost if IRS guidelines alone are used as the

counting standard. A complete fundraising operation focuses on all of these gifts, as well as irrevocable outright and deferred gifts. The only way to recognize and encourage such gifts is to set goals and report progress toward those goals. All gifts should be part of any campaign and all should, therefore, be reported. This is true whether the organization is looking at an annual fundraising plan or a multi-year campaign.

Clarity

One of the most difficult tasks for development offices in recent years has been to report results clearly to boards, to others within the organization and to the public. By trying to force all development activity into a single number, fundraisers have faced a challenge of credibility by either oversimplifying their activities or creating layers of complexity that look to many like obfuscation. Such campaigns are like the fruit seller who tells his auditors that he has 100 pieces of fruit in his inventory. This may be true, but it says nothing about how many pieces are ripe (i.e., can be consumed today), how many need to wait for some time until they are edible, how many might spoil after a while if not used, or how many he will get tomorrow from the delivery cart—and certainly it says nothing about the number of individual apples, oranges or bananas. These guidelines will enable charities to articulate clearly what resources are available in what timeframes and thus eliminate the increasing confusion that clouds a uni-numeric system of reporting.

Comparability

Just as “value” is an internal process that may rely on factors that are unique to each nonprofit and is therefore non-comparable, “counting” is an external process that should enable comparability across institutional lines. Using the IRS tax calculation formulae to ensure comparability takes into account only some fundraising activities and leaves out a large segment of the fundraising results. A much more straightforward focus on reporting, making sure that the results are categorized appropriately, achieves comparability by setting a structure and multiple goals at the beginning of the process, so it is easy to see how many annuities were written, at what face value this year, as

opposed to last, or as opposed to other organizations. It is important to understand that the guidelines stress comparability of results, not comparability of value. In counting and reporting charitable gifts, there should be no confusion between gifts usable today, gifts guaranteed but whose use is postponed until a future date and gifts that are of potentially great value down the road, but that require continued stewardship. All these activities take time, resources and attention. All should be part of the reported activities and achievements of fundraising programs.

Donor Perspective

While donors are clearly interested in the IRS value for tax purposes, most donors focus more on the dollar value of their gift at the time they make it than on the ultimate net present value to the charity. There are exceptions, but most donors would not make gifts if they did not intend the gifts to be of value to the organization. All gifts, revocable and irrevocable, current and deferred, should, therefore, be reported and acknowledged.

Setting Goals; Offering Options

Many charities currently employ what has become known as the “triple ask” in their regular interaction with major donors. These guidelines offer a sound institutional foundation to present such a giving opportunity. By establishing three interlocking but separate goals—for outright gifts, for deferred irrevocable gifts and for revocable gifts—the “triple ask” becomes part of the charity’s regular appeal. Donors are less likely to feel harassed by multiple appeals, and more likely to understand the ways in which these three methods of giving complement rather than compete with one another.

Valuation and Crediting vs. Counting

The Valuation Standards for Charitable Planned Gifts offer one method for determining the future purchasing power that a planned gift will have when it is received and used for its charitable purpose. Crediting is the way each organization recognizes its donors. Counting is an arithmetic activity—the numeric summary of activity, results and

progress toward goals. Charities should be clear on what methodology they are using, and for what purpose.

Familiar Foundations

These guidelines build on the successful record of charities that already use these methods, although they have generally been used only to articulate and measure personal or collective staff activity for internal evaluation purposes. The National Association of Charitable Gift Planners believes that charities will be more successful if they measure their activities and set public goals for both annual and multi-year fundraising efforts using these categories.

Count Each Gift Once

We all recognize that gifts sometimes come to a charity through a series of steps: bequest intention to matured distribution or charitable trust to trust maturation, etc. The report of activity should reflect each gift only once in a given campaign.

Organizations will wish to note changes in gift character within a campaign, but if a gift that is committed in one campaign actually matures after the campaign has ended, the matured gift should not be counted as a new gift in a new campaign.

Our reporting worksheet allows charities to differentiate in their reports between new commitments and commitments from previous campaigns that have changed in character (see page 32). In this way, charities will convey all the information about the ways development activity affects the financial state (both present and future) of the institution without appearing to count the same gift twice.

These guidelines are designed to focus on the character of the commitment, NOT on the source of the commitment (individual, corporation, foundation, etc.) or on the purpose of the commitment (annual operating costs, endowment, buildings renovation, program support, etc.). We recognize that most charities will also want to track these characteristics of their gifts and commitments, as most do now.

SPECIFIC COUNTING AND REPORTING GUIDELINES

Fundamental Principles of Campaign Counting

These principles apply specifically to organizations planning comprehensive multi-year campaigns as well as reporting for any time period. All of these recommendations follow from the paradigm set forth previously in this report.

Basic Principles

The following principles for counting gifts should be used for multi-year campaigns as well as all periodic reports of fundraising activity.

- a. Only those gifts and pledges actually received or committed during the period of time identified for the campaign should be counted in campaign totals.
- b. The advance-gifts phase is part of the designated campaign period, and commitments reported for this phase should actually have been received or pledged during this specified period within the campaign timeframe. Defining the advance-gifts phase as part of the campaign period will also help ensure that so called “reach back” gifts are not counted simply to inflate campaign totals. Gifts made in contemplation of a campaign (i.e., gifts for specifically defined campaign priority projects committed before the advance gift phase), however, must be acknowledged and counted on all campaign reports.
- c. Gifts and pledges may be counted to only one campaign. Organizations may also wish to note maturations of commitments made to a previous campaign, but these should not be confused with new commitments secured during the campaign (see the sample reporting form on page 32). The value of canceled or unfulfilled pledges should be subtracted from campaign totals when the charity determines they will not be realized.

- d. If a commitment recorded in Category C (revocable commitments) in a previous campaign without a dollar figure or with only a nominal figure attached to it is realized in a current campaign, it should be recorded in Category A (outright gifts) as a current gift.
- e. The value of previously recorded gifts or commitments should only be adjusted in the event of subsequent philanthropic activity by the donor, not fluctuations in market value. For example, if a bequest donor increases the amount of a previously counted bequest commitment, the additional amount may be counted in fundraising totals for the period in which the donor provides notification of the increased amount. However, a trust that increases in value over time or an estate gift that increases in value solely because of market fluctuations would NOT be reported as a new gift.
- f. Separate and apart from counting new gifts and commitments, common and smart practice should be to maintain a pipeline report of all known future gifts and commitments (whether or not they have been counted in fundraising totals) that can be updated on a regular basis for managing reporting purposes to reflect fluctuations in market value.

Campaign Period

All gifts and pledges to the campaign and affiliated entities acting on its behalf during the campaign period or reporting period should be counted in accordance with these Guidelines. For multi-year campaigns, the “campaign period” refers to the total time encompassed by the active solicitation period for the campaign, including the advance-gifts phase. The normal length of a campaign is suggested to be no more than seven years. Should the campaign period exceed seven years, the expanded period should be noted on all campaign reports, news releases and marketing materials.

Pledge Payment Period

The pledge payment period should not exceed five years for commitments counted in Category A, and if exceptions are approved by the Oversight Committee, the exceptions should be enumerated in campaign reports.

When to Report Gifts

Outright gifts should be reported only when assets are transferred irrevocably to the institution. Deferred irrevocable gifts should be reported only when assets are transferred to the gift instrument. Revocable commitments should be reported when the gift instrument is executed and sufficient documentation is received by the charity. The National Association of Charitable Gift Planners recognizes that revocable gifts are by definition revocable. Many charities rely on the donor's professed and stated intentions to document these commitments. Such documentation should go beyond a verbal declaration and could include a letter of intent, an email affirming the donor's intent, or an estate commitment form. This report does not call for copies of the actual estate documents in order to count such commitments.

Annual Reporting within a Multi-Year Campaign

Many charities "bundle" their annual fundraising activities into a more comprehensive multi-year effort. Just as organizations now report annual results as a subset in the midst of a multi-year process (and thus keep two sets of complementary "books"), so could charities use these guidelines to report activities in both an annual "campaign" and a multi-year "campaign."

Reporting maturations of previous commitments

So often, development programs receive little recognition for the ultimate maturations of irrevocable and revocable deferred gifts. Development staff may not even know when these gifts have matured. This is particularly true for life income gifts—charitable remainder trusts, gift annuities and pooled income funds—but sometimes also for distributions from life insurance contracts or qualified retirement plans. These matured distributions, however, have direct impact on the financial welfare of the organization.

While we do not recommend that organizations count these matured distributions in campaign totals if they had been counted in a previous campaign, we do recommend that development operations report to boards and other key constituents when these distributions occur. Our sample reporting form on page 32 includes an informational column for gifts committed in previous campaigns. All too often, executives and board members see the numbers when commitments are made, but lose track of the ultimate benefits when distributions take place. Closing the circle by reporting these results separately from new commitments will provide a more well-rounded picture of the impact of the entire development program on the institution and reinforce the understanding of those outside of the development office about the three dimensional and long-term benefits of the work they are doing.

Exclusions

The following types of funds are excluded from campaign totals.

- a. Gifts or pledges, outright and deferred, to the extent that they have already been counted in previous campaigns, even if realized during the campaign-reporting period. (Matured commitments from previous campaign are tracked separately on the campaign reporting form; see page 32).
- b. Investment earnings on gifts, even if accrued during the campaign reporting period and even if required within the terms specified by a donor (the only exception permitted to this exclusion would be interest accumulations counted in guaranteed investment instruments that mature within the timeframe of the campaign, such as zero coupon bonds).
- c. Earned income, including transfer payments from medical or analogous practice plans.
- d. Surplus income transfers from ticket-based operations, except for any amount equal to that permitted as a charitable deduction by the IRS/Revenue Canada.
- e. Contract revenues.

- f. Government funds. We recognize that certain state and federal government programs requiring private matching funds bear a special relationship to the encouragement of philanthropy. Nevertheless, the difference between public and private support is profound within the American tradition. Campaigns are clearly instruments of philanthropy, while governments are usually channels for the implementation of public policy. There are instances, however, in which government-funded agencies act like private foundations in their competition and award process (e.g., NEH, NSF, FIPSE). Proposals to these agencies require attention and effort by development professionals in much the same way as do private foundations. As a way of recognizing both the potential slippery slope of counting government generated funds of any kind and the legitimate development work that goes into generating grants from certain government agencies as noted above, we recommend that charitable organizations include these qualified grants as an addendum to their regular periodic report of activity (similar to matured deferred gifts) but that they not count them as part of the report of private giving. Again, the key is clarity and transparency.

WHAT TO REPORT

All gifts, pledges and commitments falling into categories covered by these standards may be reported. However, in keeping with the spirit of these standards, only setting a single overall campaign goal or reporting only one number when announcing campaign results gives an incomplete and simplistic picture of fundraising activity.

As a minimum, the following results should be available for reporting:

The total of **outright gifts and pledges received**, reported at face value, and payable within the campaign period and post-campaign accounting period, as specified in the campaign plan.

The total of **irrevocable deferred commitments**, which will be received at an undetermined time in the future, reported at face value.

The total of **revocable deferred commitments**, which will be received at an undetermined time in the future, reported at estimated current value or, if the campaign goal so stipulates, reported as the number of new revocable commitments regardless of estimated value.

Category A: Outright Gifts

Definition and Examples

Gifts that are usable or will become usable for institutional purposes during the reporting period, whether one or more years. Examples include:

- a. Cash
- b. Marketable securities
- c. Other current gifts of noncash assets
- d. Irrevocable pledges collectible during the reporting period
- e. The gift portion of bargain sales
- f. Cash value of life insurance owned by the charity (net of policy loans)
- g. Realized life insurance or retirement plan benefits in excess of the amounts reported in previous campaigns
- h. Realized bequests in excess of the amounts reported in previous campaigns
- i. Distributions from Donor Advised Funds
- j. Qualified Charitable Distributions from IRAs
- k. Total expected lead trust distributions irrevocably committed to the charity which start during the reporting period
- l. Lead trust distributions in which the charity is a revocable beneficiary, but only as they are received

Explanatory Note for Charitable Lead Trusts: Charitable lead trusts are gifts in trust that pay an income to the charity over a period of time. If the payments are irrevocable

(regardless of the type of lead trust), the total amount of payments to be received over the term of the trust should be counted in Category A in the year of the gift. Unlike split interest gifts, this is an income stream committed to the organization during the campaign period. If the payments are irrevocable and determinable (such as a CLAT), the amount reported shall be the amount of the fixed payments to be received over the term of the trust. If the payments are irrevocable but NOT determinable (such as a CLUT), the organization can report an amount equal to the amount of the payments made in the first partial year plus the payments made in the first full year times the number of years in the trust term. If the payments are revocable, and the trustee of the lead trust has discretion to determine year-by-year if the organization is to receive the payments, the payments should be counted in Category A *only as received*.

Pledges: Pledges are counted upon receipt of the written pledge, provided the pledge is in accord with these guidelines.

Pledges to make outright gifts: Such pledges should be written and should commit to a specific dollar amount that will be paid according to a fixed time schedule. The pledge payment period, regardless of when the pledge is made, should not exceed five years. Therefore, a pledge received even on the last day of the campaign is counted in campaign totals and may be paid over a five-year period.

Oral Pledges: Oral pledges should not be reported in campaign totals. On the rare occasion when an exception is warranted, the organization should write to the individual making an oral pledge to document the commitment, place a copy of the confirmation in the donor's file and gain specific written approval from the oversight committee.

Guidelines for reporting specific types of assets in Category A

- a. **Cash:** Report cash at full value as of the date received by the institution.

- b. **Marketable Securities:** Marketable securities should be counted at the average of the high and low quoted selling prices on the gift date (the date the donor relinquished dominion and control of the assets in favor of the institution). If there were not any actual trades on the gift date, the fair-market value can be computed using the weighted average of the mean of the high and low trading prices on a date before and a date after the gift date, if those dates are a reasonable number of days before and after the actual gift date. If there were no actual trades in a reasonable number of days before and after the gift date, then the fair-market value is computed based on the average of the bid and the ask price on the gift date. Exactly when dominion and control has been relinquished by a donor depends on the method of delivery of the securities to the donee. These reporting standards do not address the multitude of tax rules regarding the delivery of securities by the donor to the donee.
- c. **Closely Held Stock:** Gifts of closely held stock or partnership interests, regardless of value, should be reported at the fair-market value placed on them by either an independent appraiser or an independent CPA who maintains the books for the corporation. This allows donors flexibility over whether they want the shares formally valued or not. It should be noted that the IRS will require a qualified appraisal by an independent appraiser to substantiate any gifts for which a charitable deduction valued at more than \$10,000 will be taken. In a situation where the stock is redeemed by the corporation, gifts of \$10,000 or less may be valued at the per-share cash purchase price of the transaction.
- d. **Gifts of Property:** Gifts of real and personal property that qualify for a charitable deduction should be counted at their full fair-market value as determined by a qualified independent appraiser. Gifts-in-kind, such as equipment and software, shall be counted at their fair-market value. Caution should be exercised to ensure that only gifts that are convertible to cash or that are of actual direct value to the institution are included in the reported results. (Generally, irrevocable gifts or software and hardware with an established retail value are

- treated like other gifts-in-kind, but so-called mega gifts of software and hardware may require special care. These types of gifts can be especially complex, and institutions should exercise extreme caution in counting these gifts in campaign totals.) Gifts with fair market value exceeding \$5,000 should be counted at the value placed on them by qualified independent appraiser as required by the IRS for valuing noncash charitable contributions. Gifts of \$5,000 and under may be reported at the value declared by the donor or placed on them by a qualified expert.
- e. **Nongovernmental Grants and Contracts:** Grant income from private, nongovernmental sources should be reported; contract revenue should be excluded. The difference between a private grant and a contract should be judged on the basis of the intention of the awarding agency and the legal obligation incurred by an institution in accepting the award. A grant is bestowed voluntarily, without expectation of any tangible compensation. It is donative in nature. A contract carries an explicit quid pro quo relationship between the source and the institution.
 - f. **Realized Testamentary Gifts:** All bequests realized during the defined duration of the campaign should be counted at full value in campaign totals, insofar as the amount received exceeds commitments counted in a previous campaign. If a revocable testamentary commitment made during the current campaign and counted in Category C matures during the same campaign period, it should be removed from Category C and included as an outright gift in category A.
 - g. **Realized Retirement Plan Assets:** All gifts of retirement plan assets realized during the defined duration of the campaign should be counted at full face value in campaign totals to the extent the gift was not counted as a commitment in a previous campaign.

Category B: Irrevocable Deferred Gifts

Definition and Examples

Gifts committed during the reporting period, but usable by the organization at some point after the end of the period. Examples include:

- a. **Split interest gifts** such as charitable gift annuities, pooled income fund shares and charitable remainder trusts in which the beneficiary designation is irrevocable.
- b. **Life estates**
- c. **Death benefit of paid-up life insurance** in which the charity is both owner and beneficiary.
- d. **Irrevocable testamentary pledges or contract to make a will**
- e. **Charitable remainder trusts, gift annuities and pooled-income funds:** Gifts made to establish charitable remainder trusts (including charitable remainder trusts administered outside the institution) where the remainder is not subject to change or revocation, gift annuities and contributions to pooled income funds should be credited to campaign totals at face value. When additions are made to gifts that have been counted in previous campaign(s), the additions can be counted in the current campaign.
- f. **Remainder Interest in a Residence or Farm with Retained Life Estate:** A gift of a remainder interest in a personal residence or farm should be counted at the face value.

Category C: Revocable Deferred Gifts

Definition and Examples

Gifts solicited and committed during the reporting period, but which the donor retains the right to change the commitment and/ or beneficiary. Examples include:

- a. **Estate provisions**, either from a will or a living trust.

- b. **Charitable remainder trusts** in which the donor retains the right to change the beneficiary designation. When additions are made to gifts that have been counted in previous campaign(s), the additions can be counted in the current campaign.
- c. **IRAs or other retirement plan assets** in which the charitable beneficiary's interest remains revocable by the donor.
- d. **Life insurance in which the donor retains ownership** (face value less any policy loans) and in which charity is owner but premiums remain due.
- e. **The portion of donor advised fund assets** due to the charity in which the charity is the owner of the DAF program.
- f. **Payable on Death (POD) or Transferable on Death (TOD)** designations
- g. **Testamentary remainder trusts**
- h. **Other revocable pledges**

Uncertainty

It is difficult to put specific numbers on certain revocable commitments whose ultimate maturation value is uncertain. The numbers reported in Category C may at best be estimates and should reflect both conservative and realistic understanding of each donor's circumstances. Commitments counted nominally in category C (for example, at \$1, because the charity had no information about the value) can be counted at full value in category A if they mature in a later campaign.

Age Minimums

Some organizations have set a minimum age limit (often 65 or 70) for counting revocable commitments in a formal campaign. The age limit was considered necessary due to the lack of transparency in campaign counting and the fear that inclusion of revocable commitments unlikely to mature within a "reasonable" time after the end of the campaign could mislead the public about the current benefits derived from campaign activity. We recognize the importance of this issue and organizations should

exercise discretion to determine if age limits are more comfortable for their circumstances.

However, setting age limits is not deemed necessary in these guidelines for two reasons. First, setting initial goals that differentiate revocable deferred commitments from other immediate or irrevocable deferred gifts provides a level of transparency sufficient to eliminate the need to explain the uncertainty of timing, nature and extent of the commitment. Second, revocable deferred commitments are often only the first major commitment a donor makes to a nonprofit organization. And those who make such commitments often make additional commitments that are irrevocable and frequently immediate. Accordingly, campaigns should recognize all who make such commitments. Often institutions recognize all such revocable estate commitments through a special named legacy society, a practice fully endorsed by these guidelines. These guidelines allow charitable organizations to be clear about the nature of a revocable deferred gift and differentiate such commitments from gifts that have more immediate impact on the institution.

Counting Estate Provisions

To include estate provisions in campaign totals, the following requirements must be satisfied:

- a. The commitment should specify an amount to be distributed to the organization or, if a percentage of the estate or a trust or of a beneficiary designation, specify a credible estimate of the value of the estate at the time the commitment is made. (Note: The decision about whether or not these types of gifts should be given campaign credit is often based on the value of the estate. At best, this requires a judgment call to be made by the campaign managers after conversation with the donor and his/her advisor.)
- b. Have verification of the commitment through one or more of the following forms:

1. A letter or agreement from the donor or donor's advisor affirming the commitment.
2. Copy of will or beneficiary designation form
3. Notification form provided by the charity, signed by donor or advisor
4. An acknowledged email or text affirming the donor's intention
5. Charitable/Deferred Pledge Agreement. A deferred pledge agreement is a legally binding document, tested in the courts of several states, that places an obligation on the estate of the issuer to transfer a certain amount to the institution. Under such agreements, the executor of the donor's estate is held legally responsible for payment of the specified amount from the estate. The campaign will carefully investigate the actual circumstances underlying the estate and be conservative in counting such commitments toward campaign totals. If any circumstances should make it unlikely that the amount pledged by bequest will actually be realized by the organization, then the commitment should be further adjusted according to specific circumstances, or not reported at all.

Counting Retirement Plan Assets

The organization may be named as the beneficiary of retirement plan assets. A testamentary pledge of retirement plan assets shall be included in campaign totals if the following requirements have been satisfied:

- a. There must be a means to establish a credible estimate of the value of the retirement plan account at the time the commitment is made.
- b. There must be verification of the commitment in the form of a letter or an email trail from the donor or the donor's advisor affirming the commitment.
- c. The campaign will investigate carefully the actual circumstances underlying the plan and be conservative in counting such commitments toward campaign totals. If any circumstances should make it unlikely that the amount pledged will

actually be realized by the organization, then the commitment should be further adjusted according to specific circumstances, or not reported at all.

Gifts That May Be Counted in More Than One Category, Depending on the Circumstances

Life Insurance

To include commitments of life insurance in campaign totals, the following requirements as to ownership of the policy must be satisfied.

- a. The organization should be made the owner and irrevocable beneficiary of gifts of all new policies, paid-up policies and existing policies that are not fully paid-up.
- b. If the organization is the beneficiary only and not the owner of a policy, gift credit will be given but only in Category C, in the same way as credit is given to any other revocable gift commitment
- c. The remainder of these guidelines assume that the charity is the owner of the policy.

Paid-up Life Insurance Policies Counted at face value in Category B.

Existing Policies/Not Fully Paid-up A life insurance policy that is not fully paid-up on the date of contribution, which is given to the institution during the campaign, should be counted at face value only in Category C.

New Policies Face amount of these policies should be counted in Category C, unless fully paid-up front through a single premium payment by the donor.

Realized Death Benefits The insurance company's settlement amount for an insurance policy whose death benefit is realized during the campaign period, whether the policy is owned by the institution or not, should be counted in campaign totals, less amounts previously counted in former campaigns.

Wholly Charitable Trusts Administered by Others

A wholly charitable trust is one that is held for the irrevocable benefit of charity, where the principal is invested and the income is distributed to charitable organizations. All interests in income and principal are irrevocably dedicated to charitable purposes (as opposed to a charitable remainder or lead trust). While it is similar in that sense to an endowment fund, it is created as a freestanding entity.

The fair-market value of the assets, or a portion of the assets, of such a trust administered by an outside fiduciary should be counted in Category A, in the “gifts and pledges” section of campaign totals, for the year in which the trust is established, provided that the institution has an irrevocable right to all or a predetermined portion of the income of the trust. If the trustee retains or is awarded the right to designate or alter the income beneficiary, only the income should be reported and then only as it distributed.

In cases where less than the entire income of the trust is to be distributed to the institution, the amount to be reported is the income to be distributed to the institution over the total income (or the stated percentage to be distributed, if the trust terms spell this out as a percentage) multiplied by the value of the trust assets. The income of the trust, thereafter, is reported as a gift.

Community and Private Foundations

Gifts **to community foundations**, the income from which is irrevocably designated, in whole or in part, to the organization, and **private foundations** established solely to benefit the organization or where the organization is to receive a specified percentage of the annual income each year, are two examples of wholly charitable trusts administered by others. Gift recognition credit will generally be given to the foundation, although the original donors or their families should certainly be kept apprised of the distributions if at all possible.

SAMPLE REPORTING FORM

The Sample Reporting Form provides a framework for reporting the results of fundraising activity, either in an annual context, or in a multi-year campaign. In the campaign, a series of interim reports would be prepared, either annually or on a schedule determined at the beginning of the campaign.

Number of Gifts: New gifts or commitments that have been received during the reporting period, as well as gifts that have changed character during the period and been moved from another category. When a gift is moved from one category to another, the number of gifts in its original category should be reduced accordingly.

Face Value: the value of new gifts/commitments and gifts that have changed character during the reporting period. When a gift is moved from one category to another, the value of gifts in its original category should be reduced accordingly.

Valuation Based on the Association's Valuation Standards: The [Valuation Standards for Charitable Planned Gifts](#) provide a methodology for estimating what a future gift will be worth to charity in today's dollars. See page 8 for more information on the distinctions between counting and valuing gifts.

IRS Deduction Value: the charitable tax deduction as determined by U.S. Treasury regulations. The gift value according to the CGP standards and the IRS deduction value are included on this form in order to fully describe fundraising results for internal audiences, and to contrast figures arrived at by various methodologies. This information, and detailed information on various types of gifts within the three categories, are intended for internal reporting clarity. We recommend that only the summary results in the three major categories should be reported to external constituencies.

Category A: Spendable Gifts (Outright or to Endowment)

Reporting Period:

	*Number of Gifts	*Face Value	Valuation Based on CGP Standards	IRS Deduction Value	Matured Gifts Counted in Previous Campaigns
Cash					
Public Securities					
Closely Held Securities					
Qualified Charitable Distributions					
Donor-Advised Fund Distributions/Grants					
Real Estate					
Tangible Property					
Other Noncash Assets					
Grant Income from Family Foundations					
Gift Portion of Bargain Sales					
Lead Trust Distributions					
CRT/CGA Income Distributions					
Realized Bequests- Documented/Known					

Realized Bequests- Undocumented/Unknown					
Realized Charitable Remainder Trusts					
Realized Charitable Gift Annuities					
Realized Insurance Policies					
Realized Retirement Plan Assets					
TOTAL OUTRIGHT GIFTS					

* These columns include both new gifts and commitments that have changed character **during the reporting period** (e.g., matured to category A from category B or C, or moved from category C (revocable commitments) to category B (irrevocable commitments)).

Category B: Irrevocable Deferred Gifts

Reporting Period:

	*Number of Gifts	*Face Value	Valuation Based on CGP Standards	IRS Deduction Value	Matured Gifts Counted in Previous Campaigns
New Charitable Gift Annuities					
New Deferred Charitable Gift Annuities					
New Charitable Remainder Annuity Trusts					
New Charitable Remainder Unitrusts					
Additions to existing CRTS					
New Pooled Income Fund Contributions					
New gifts to internal Donor-Advised Fund, % designated for organization					
Life Insurance (Charity owns policy)					
Remainder Interest in Property with Retained Life Estate					
Irrevocable Testamentary Pledges					
TOTAL IRREVOCABLE DEFERRED GIFTS					

Category C: Revocable Gifts

Reporting Period:

	*Number of Gifts	*Face Value	Valuation Based on CGP Standards	IRS Deduction Value	Matured Gifts Counted in Previous Campaigns
Estate Provisions- Documented					
Estate Provisions- Undocumented (counted nominally or at organizational average)					
POD/TOD Designations					
Qualified Retirement Plan Beneficiary Designation					
Donor-Advised Fund Remainder Beneficiary Designation					
Life Insurance (Charity does not own policy)					
New Charitable Remainder Trusts (Donor Retains Right to Change Beneficiaries)					
Additions to existing CRTS (Donor Retains Right to Change Beneficiaries)					
Other Revocable Pledges					
TOTAL REVOCABLE COMMITMENTS					

ALL CATEGORIES

Reporting Period:

	*Number of Gifts	*Face Value
Total		
Total Blended Gifts**		

** This row summarizes gifts whose current and deferred components are listed above in the tables for Categories A, B or C. Gifts noted here have already been counted and should not be added to the category totals.

APPENDIX

Acknowledgements

The Association recognizes the work of the 2021 review panel listed below and the efforts of the 2021 Board President Jon Abrams and his colleagues on the Board of Directors, Association President Michael Kenyon and the continuing invaluable support of Barbara Yeager, Director of Education and Programs.

2021 Review Panel

Bruce Bigelow, Charitable Development Consulting LLC Co-Chair

Scott Lumpkin, Scott R. Lumpkin and Associates LLC Co-Chair

Kristen Dugdale, Director – Relationship Management, TIAA Kaspick

Michelle Mancini, Senior Director – Wealth Consultant, American Cancer Society

Glenn Pittsford, Vice President for Gift Planning, Texas A&M Foundation

Angela Woo Sosdian, Executive Director – Gift Planning, The Nature Conservancy

2005 National Committee on Planned Giving Task Force on Counting Gifts

Bruce E. Bigelow, Chair Charitable Development Consulting LLC

Guidelines Development

Andrea M. Latchem Senior Philanthropy Advisor, Syracuse University

Scott Lumpkin Associate Vice Chancellor, University of Denver

Steven L. Meyers Vice President, American Committee for the Weizmann Institute of Science

James F. Normandin President, Memorial Medical Center Foundation

Gary Dicovitsky Vice President, Development & Alumni Relations, California Institute of Technology

Tanya Howe Johnson (ex-officio) President & CEO, National Committee on Planned Giving

Review Panel

Debra Ashton, Principal, Ashton Associates

Laura Hansen Dean, President & CEO, Community Foundation of Southern Indiana

Kevin Johnson, Retriever Development Counsel, LLC

Peter K. Kimball, Director of Gift Planning, Harvard University

Thomas P. Lockerby, Associate Vice President for Capital Giving, Boston College

Benjamin P. Madonia, Director of Planned Giving, Hamilton College

Kathryn Miree, Kathryn W. Miree & Associates, Inc.

Katelyn L. Quynn, Executive Director of Development, Massachusetts General Hospital

Ronald E. Sapp, Ron Sapp & Associates Ltd.

Nelson J. Wittenmyer, Executive Director of Gift Planning, Cleveland Clinic Foundation

Of Counsel

G. David Gearhart, Chair National Capital Campaign Counting Guidelines Committee
Chancellor, University of Arkansas

Joseph O. Bull, Senior Philanthropy Office, The Nature Conservancy